

# Low Danger Zone (LDZ) Improving road safety and air quality

Pierre Courbe Fédération Inter-Environnement Wallonie Koen Van Wonterghem OVK-PEVR



Brussels – POLIS Conference - 07 December 2017



# **TRAFFIC & VICTIMS**

**Road victims** 

BE 2015: 732 killed, 4.201 seriously injured and 47.638 slightly injured

EU 28: 25,670 killed (2016), 135,000 seriously injured (2014)

#### Traffic noise victims

EU: 10.000 => BE(220)(indicative figures)

#### Traffic victims due to air pollution

	PM <sub>2.5</sub>	NO <sub>X</sub>	<b>O</b> <sub>3</sub>	Total
Premature deaths in BE in 2013	10.050	2.320	210	12.580
Traffic emissions in 2012	13 %	49 %	?	
Traffic induced deaths	1.307	1.137	?	2.444

Indicative figures – surely underestimated, due do the fact that:

- traffic's share is more important in urban areas
- road emissions occur close to respiratory tract



### **TRAFFIC MANAGEMENT IN CITIES**

#### Traffic impacts in cities

Air pollution, road unsafety, noise, public space occupancy, loss of conviviality

#### Solutions to reduce these impacts

	Air quality	Road safety	Quietude	Public space
Walking and cycling	$\odot$	$\odot$	$\odot$ $\odot$ $\odot$	$\odot$
Public transports	00	00	$\odot$	000
Electric cars	$\odot$		©©(☺)	
30 km/h / shared space	☺(☺)	00	00	☺(☺)
Low emissions zones	$\odot$ $\odot$			

Low danger zones

# LEZ & AIR QUALITY

#### Good results...

Berlin LEZ impacts (\*)

- Traffic emissions down -60% (PM) & -20% (NO<sub>X</sub>) on top of BAU trend
- Air quality up: -5 to 10% PM10/2.5 &  $NO_2$
- ...But not so good as theory

Two factors:

- unreliability of the official (type-approved) emissions
- adverse effect of new technologies as direct injection applied to petrol engines

(\*) LUTZ M. 2012. Abatement of PM and NO2 pollution in Berlin: The low emission zone and other measures

### **EURO NORMS: UNRELIABLE**

### **Clean Air Zones permitting entry to Euro 6 diesels** will see 86% of cars emitting above the NO<sub>x</sub> standard in real-world driving



### **LEZ ADVERSE EFFECTS**

#### VW Polo 1.4 TDi (2005)

Price: 3 700  $\in$  (2<sup>nd</sup> hand) Mass: 1 091 kg Power: 51 kW / 70 Hp CO<sub>2</sub>: 136 g/km (est.) Euro norm: 4 NO<sub>x</sub>: 0,80 g/km (est.)

#### Ssangyong Korando SE (2016)

Price: 25 500 € Mass: 1 597 kg Power: 131 kW / 178 Hp  $CO_2$ : 175-200 g/km (on road\*) Euro norm: 6  $NO_X$ : min. 0.96 g/km (on road\*)



### FORBIDDEN



### **ALLOWED**

### WHAT THEN?



### MASS, POWER & SAFETY

The segmentation groups used for the third party liability insurances are intimately correlated to the maximum kinetic energy (so to the power) of the vehicles

- <u>AXA</u> states that "the statistics establish that the more powerful a car, the higher the frequency and the seriousness of the accidents."
- <u>Partner</u> clearly makes the link between the vehicle and the behavior: "the characteristics of the vehicle (make, type, power, sporty character, body, ...) make it possible to predict the behavior of the driver and the potential dangerousness of the vehicle; both influencing the seriousness of the crashes."

# MASS, POWER & SAFETY

HORSWILL M. S., COSTER M. E. 2002. The effect of vehicle characteristics on drivers' risk-taking behaviour. Ergonomics, 45:2, p. 85-104

"Results indicate that the causal mechanism behind the relationship [between vehicle performance and drivers' risk-taking behaviour] is bi-directional, such that while drivers who take more risks choose faster cars, vehicle performance also influences drivers' risk-taking intentions."

#### The phone booth experiment





### MASS, POWER & ENERGY

#### Characteristics and fuel consumption of petrol VW Golf VII

Version	1	2	Increase 1->2
Power (kW)	62	221	+ 256 %
Mass (kg)	1,130	1,401	+ 24 %
Top speed (km/h)	179	250	+ 38 %
NEDC Urban (l/100 km)	6.1	9.4	+ 54 %
NEDC average (I/100 km)	4.9	7.1	+ 45 %

### **POWER & POLLUTION**

#### RDE test, vehicle \*\*\*\*\*\*\*



### **CAR MARKET TRENDS**



#### New cars sales in BE

	2001	2016	Evolution
Small hatchbacks	111,276	16,775	- 84.9%
Medium family cars	66,034	35,601	- 46.1%
Big monospaces	23,803	34,807	+ 46.2%
Jeeplikes	13,490	146,563	+ 986.5%

# LOW DANGER ZONE (LDZ): THE CONCEPT

#### Limit urban access on basis of:

- Mass
- Power
- (and bonnet leading edge height BLEH?)



#### Frontrunners

MOMCILOVIC V., VUJANOVIC D., PAPIC V. 2009. Small urban vehicles: a solution for increasing energy efficiency and decreasing CO2 emissions within city limits. WIT Transactions on The Built Environment, Vol 107, p. 479-489

- The main idea behind this paper is to impose restrictions on private cars' admittance to restricted areas due to their "weight efficiency".
- The objective [...] is to influence the users' awareness, impose moral principles, that the purchase of an inefficient, excessively "overweight" vehicle has a wide negative impact on an entire society and on environmental protection.

# WHY LDZ?

#### **Reliability of data**

- Mass (weight) and power are not cheated
- Nor Bonnet leading edge height

#### Answer to 3 problems

- Air pollution, road unsafety, noise
- Bonus: public space, conviviality

#### Social equity

- in a LEZ, only new cars can be driven
- in a LDZ, also older cars that are owned by the poorest

#### Drive automobile sector in the good direction

- There are norms for air pollution not for mass, power and aggressiveness of cars' front
- LDVs can palliate this

### **CALL FOR PUBLIC ACTION**

#### Political action is awaited for a long time

ECMT called for action to limit cars power in 1991, 26 years ago!

#### Public opinion is ready

Results of an opinion poll conducted in Wallonia by AWSR in 2016 (\*)



(\*) Riguelle, F. (2016). Ce que pensent les Wallons de la vitesse – Enquête d'opinion auprès des conducteurs de voiture - 2016. Namur, Belgique, Agence wallonne pour la Sécurité routière

### **BEYOND LDZS**

#### Parking

- Special rates for LISA Cars
- Reserved places for smaller cars
- Car sharing: support from community related to the size of the vehicles
- Residents' parking permit related to vehicle size

#### Taxation

• Regional/national level: registration taxes based on mass and power

# **TO CONCLUDE:**

### **TRAFFIC MANAGEMENT IN CITIES**

#### **Traffic impacts in cities**

Air pollution, road unsafety, noise, public space occupancy, loss of conviviality

#### Solutions to answer these problems

	Air quality	Road safety	Quietude	Public space
Walking and cycling			000	000
Public transports			$\odot$	000
Electric cars			$\odot \odot (\odot)$	
30 km/h / shared space	☺(☺)		00	☺(☺)
Low emissions zones				
Low danger zones	00	$\odot$	00	$\odot$



### www.iew.be

### www.ovk.be

### www.lisacar.eu



